	Case 2:20-cv-00004-JAM-JDP Documer	nt 17	Filed 09/29/21	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	MICHAEL C. SCHOOL,	C	ase No. 2:20-cv-0	0004-JAM-JDP (PS)
12	Plaintiff,			PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
13	v.			N OF DOCUMENTS
14	OLIVIA RODRIGUES, et al.,	E	CF No. 15	
15	Defendants.			
16				
17	Plaintiff, proceeding without counsel, moves for production of exculpatory evidence			
18	under Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963). ECF No. 15. Although the authority cited by			
19	plaintiff governs the <i>criminal</i> discovery process, it is not application to this <i>civil</i> case. The			
20	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure govern discovery in this case. Importantly, no party may move			
21	to compel discovery without first attempting to resolve the dispute with the other party. See Fed.			
22	R. Civ. P. 37(a)(1) (requiring the parties to meet and confer regarding discovery disputes before			
23	bringing them to the court). Additionally, in accordance with my Civil Procedures, the parties			
24	must conduct a telephonic conference with me before filing any discovery motion. ¹			
25	Accordingly, plaintiff's motion for production of documents, ECF No. 15, is denied.			
26				
27	Available online by clicking the "Civil Procedures" hyperlink at			
28	http://www.caed.uscourts.gov/caednew/index.cfm/judges/all-judges/50231/.			
		1		

IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 28, 2021 JEREMY D. PETERSON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Case 2:20-cv-00004-JAM-JDP Document 17 Filed 09/29/21 Page 2 of 2